



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/118,010	07/17/1998	SHUNPEI YAMAZAKI	0756-1838	8550

7590 11/22/2002

ROBINSON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW OFFICE
PMB 955
21010 SOUTHBANK STREET
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

GUERRERO, MARIA F

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2822

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/118,010	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Maria Guerrero	Art Unit 2822	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 September 2002.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-73 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-46 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 47-73 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/962,840.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Request for consideration filed September 4, 2002

Claims 9-10 are canceled.

Claims 1-8 and 11-73 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 47-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakai et al. (U.S. 5,055,899) in view of Takenouchi et al. (U.S. 5,427,961).

Regarding claims 47-73, Wakai et al. discloses an inverted staggered TFT having a pixel electrode, an insulating substrate 101, a gate insulating film 103, and a semiconductor film 104 (amorphous silicon or the like) (col. 4, lines 15-30, col. 5, lines 40-45). Wakai et al. teaches an insulating film 108 can be comprising polyimide or an acrylic resin over a semiconductor layer 104 (col. 6, lines 2-10), a transparent electrode 110 made of ITO is a pixel electrode, and source and drain (106 and 107). Wakai et al. teaches the first insulating film 108a being used to flatten the uneven surface above the insulating substrate (fig. 7, col. 7, lines 48-57).

Wakai et al. fails to disclose the substrate consisting of: polyethylene terephlate, polyethylene naphtalate, polyethylene sulfite and polyimide as claimed. Wakai fails to

show the resinous material consisting of: methyl ester of acrylic acid, ethyl ester of acrylic acid, butyl ester of acrylic acid and 2-ethyhexyl ester of acrylic acid as claimed. However, this is known in the art as evidenced Takenouchi et al.

Takenouchi et al. discloses a semiconductor device having a resinous substrate, the resinous substrate made of polyester (e.g., PET (polyethylene terephlate)), polyimide, fluoroplastic, PES (polyethylene sulfane) (col. 3, lines 49-55). Takenouchi et al. also teaches a resinous layer provided on the resinous substrate including an acrylic resin (e.g. methyl acrylate ester, ethyl acryalate ester, butyl acrylate ester, and 2-ethyhexyl acrylate ester (col. 3, lines 55-60). In addition, Takenouchi et al. discloses providing the film on the substrate with the purpose of leveling the initial surface irregularities (col. 4, lines 10-15).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wakai et al's semiconductor device by specify the use of the materials suggested by Takenouchi et al. The modification would provide a low cost semiconductor device easily handled having a larger field of application and free from oligomeros (Takenouchi et al., col. 1, lines 15-25, col. 3, lines 20-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-8 and 11-46 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed September 4, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claims 47-73 stand rejected.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a resinous interlayer insulating layer can be formed by a coating method at a lower temperature than with PCVD without damaging the resinous substrate) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Guerrero whose telephone number is (703) 305-0162.

Art Unit: 2822

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian, can be reached on (703) 308-4905. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MG
MG

November 19, 2002



AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800